	Case 2:20-cv-02261-KJM-AC Documer	at 84 Filed 07/11/23 Page 1 of 2
	Case 2.20-cv-02201-Nsiw-AC Documen	11 04 1 11ed 01/11/25 Fage 1 01 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	CHRISTOPHER NATHANIEL	No. 2:20-cv-2261 KJM AC P
12	WASHINGTON,	
13	Plaintiff,	<u>ORDER</u>
14	V.	
15	RALPH DIAZ, et al.,	
16	Defendant.	
17		
18	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief	
19	under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided	
20	by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
21	On May 15, 2023, the magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations, which were	
22	served on plaintiff, and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the findings and	
23	recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. ECF No. 76. Plaintiff filed objections.	
24	ECF No. 78.	
25	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this	
26	court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the file, the court	
27	finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.	
28	Plaintiff's objections do not identify any error in the findings and recommendations, nor respond	
		1

Case 2:20-cv-02261-KJM-AC Document 84 Filed 07/11/23 Page 2 of 2 to the stated reasons to dismiss the first claim and terminate defendant T. Meza. See ECF No. 78 at 1. As a result, the court **adopts** the findings and recommendations. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. The findings and recommendations issued May 15, 2023 (ECF No. 76) are ADOPTED in full; 2. Claim One of the Fourth Amended Complaint (ECF No. 54) is DISMISSED without leave to amend; 3. Defendant T. Meza is TERMINATED from this action, 4. This action shall PROCEED solely against defendants Emerson and Codes on Claims Two and Three of the Fourth Amended Complaint (ECF No. 54) as screened (i.e., First Amendment retaliation and Eighth Amendment failure to protect claims), and 5. This matter is referred back to the assigned magistrate judge for all pretrial proceedings. DATED: July 10, 2023.